Article 12, paragraph 12.03 - Functions of the Monitoring Officer

(c) Supporting the <u>Governance Audit Risk Management and</u> Standards Committee<u>in respect of standards</u> and advising on the Code of_Conduct

The Monitoring Officer will contribute to the promotion and maintenance of high standards of conduct through provision of support to the <u>Governance Audit</u> <u>Risk Management and</u> Standards Committee. He or she will also provide advice to Members of the Council and act as the proper officer to receive complaints of failure to comply with the Code of Conduct. <u>He or she will also make decisions about complaints as</u> <u>set out in the delegations under Part 3B.</u>

Part 3B - Delegations to Officers - Monitoring Officer, paragraph 7

7 Key role in framework for local determination of complaints namely to: Sections 28 – 34 Localism Act 2011 a. Decide, in consultation with an Independent Person, whether to dismiss complaints that are outside the Code of Conduct, are considered to be frivolous or vexatious, are about events which took place more than 6 months prior to the receipt of the complaint by the Monitoring Officer, unless there are exceptional circumstances and/or do not merit further investigation on public interest grounds. Deleted: if agreement with the independent Person canned the decision shall not by the Monitoring Officer unless there are exceptional circumstances and/or do not merit further investigation on public interest grounds. b. Decide, taking into account the recommendations of the Standards Working Group following initial consideration of a complaint which of the following options should be pursued; Deleted: teasesment Suc-1 0 the account the trans there is no breach of the Code or that it is not in the public interest to proceed • the matter should proceed no further on the grounds that there is no breach of the Code or that it is not in the public of the Code or that it is not in the public interest to proceed Deleted: sad Deleted: sad Deleted: sa Deleted: sa Deleted: sa Deleted: sa Deleted: the Monitoring officer further information should be supplied to the Standards Working Group allegations of misconduct of Members in	Monitoring Officer	Statutory source of function		
determination of complaints namely to: Localism Act 2011 a. Decide, in consultation with an Independent Person, whether to dismiss complaints that are outside the Code of Conduct, are considered to be frivolous or vexatious, are about events which took place more than 6 months prior to the receipt of the complaint by the Monitoring Officer. unless, there are exceptional circumstances and/or, do not merit further investigation on public interest grounds. Deleted: if agreement b. Decide, taking into account the receiption of the complaint shuld be investigated. Deleted: if agreement b. Decide, taking into account the receiption of a complaint which of the following options should be unsued; Deleted: if agreement commendations_of Committee for decision. consideration of a complaint which of the grounds that there is no breach of the Code or that it is not in the public interest to proceed on further on there is and that a particular sanction should be subject or that it is not in the public interest to proceed Deleted: is addeened of the code and that a particular sanction should be subject or the standards Working Group they working Group they after and that a particular sanction should be subject or the standards Working Group they after and the targement with its work in the following initial consideration should be subject or the standards Working Group they after and the targement with its work in the is no breach of the Code or that it is not in the public interest to proceed Deleted: is addeened by the working Group they after and the targement with its work in the information should be subject or the standards Working Group they after and the to beation in t	7 Key role in framework for local	Sections 28 – 34		
Independent Person, whether to dismiss complaints that are outside the Code of Conduct, are considered to be five/lookus or vexatious, are about events which took place more than 6 months prior to the receipt of the complaint by the Monitoring Officer, unless there are exceptional circumstances and/or do not merit further investigation on public interest grounds. b. Decide, taking into account the recommendations, of the Standards Working Group following initial consideration of a complaint which of the following options should be pursued; • the complaint should be pursued; • the complaint should be pursued; • the action of the receipt of the condition interest for the subject to the Assessment Sub-fi e interest to proceed • there has been a breach of the Code and that a particular sanction should be applied • That further information should be supplied to the Standards Working Group • That further information should be supplied to the Standards Working Group • That further information should be supplied to the Standards Working Group • That further information should be supplied to the Standards Working Group • That further information should be supplied to the Standards Working Group • That further information should be supplied to the Standards Working Group • That further information should be supplied to the Standards Working Group • That intrestigator to investigate • the matine standards Working Group				
complaints that are outside the Code of Conduct_are considered to be frivolous or vexatious, are about events which took place more than 6 months prior to the receipt of the complaint by the Monitoring Officer, unless there are exceptional circumstances and/or do not merit further investigation on public interest grounds. Deleted: If agreement¶ with the independent Person cannot be¶ there are exceptional circumstances and/or do not merit further investigation on public interest grounds. b. Decide, taking into account the recommendations_of consideration of a complaint which of the following options should be pursued; Deleted: If agreement¶ with the independent Person cannot be¶ the Monitoring Officer but instead be¶ • the Complaint which of the following options should be investigated_ Deleted: some there are an option of a complaint which of the of the Code or that it is not in the public interest to proceed Deleted: file autient and Deleted: and Deleted: and Deleted: and Deleted: and Deleted: the Monitoring Complaint which are an option of the Code or that it is not in the public interest to proceed • there has been a breach of the Code and that a particular sanction should be applied Deleted: and Deleted: and Deleted: an are with the with domain an arge with the with a supplied to the Standards Working Group c. Appoint an investigator to investigate allegations of misconduct of Members in Committee for decision.	a. Decide, in consultation with an			
Conductare considered to be frivolous or vexatious_are about events which took place more than 6 months prior to the receipt of the complaint by the Monitoring Officer, unless there are exceptional circumstances and/or do not merit further investigation on public interest grounds. Deleted: If agreement[with the independent Person cannot be] b. Decide, taking into account the recommendations_of The taking? the Standards Working Group following initial consideration of a complaint which of the following options should be investigated_ Deleted: if agreement[with the independent Person cannot be] • the matter should proceed no further on the grounds that there is no breach of the Code or further investigated_ Deleted: if agreement with its view • there has been a breach of the Code and that a particular sanction should be applied Deleted: if agreement with its view • That further information should be supplied to the Standards Working Group Deleted: agreement with its view • there has been a breach of the Code and that a particular sanction should be applied Deleted: agreement with its view • That further information should be supplied to the Standards Working Group Deleted: agreement with its view of the first view • C. Appoint an investigator to investigate allegations of misconduct of Members in Deleted: complexite the assessment Sub f				
more than 6 months prior to the receipt of the complaint by the Monitoring Officer, unless, there are exceptional circumstances and/or do not merit further investigation on public, interest grounds. Deleted: If agreement¶ with the independent Person cannot be¶ redered, the decision shall not be taken¶ by the Monitoring Officer but instead be¶ reterred to the Assessment Sub-f Committee for decision. b. Decide, taking into account the recommendations_of the Standards Working Group following initial consideration of a complaint which of the following options should be pursued; • the complaint should be investigated_ Deleted: iswet Deleted: assessment Sub-f Committee for decision. • the matter should proceed no further on the grounds that there is no breach of the Code or that it is not in the public interest to proceed Deleted: ¶ Deleted: a • there has been a breach of the Code and that a particular sanction should be applied Deleted: a • That further information should be supplied to the Standards Working Group droug officer but instead be¶ Deleted: circup • That further information should be supplied to the Standards Working Group Deleted: circup • That further information should be supplied to the Standards Working Group Deleted: circup • C. Appoint an investigator to investigate allegations of misconduct of Members in Group				Deleted: or
complaint by the Monitoring Officer, unless. there are exceptional circumstances and/or do not merit further investigation on public interest grounds Deleted: If agreement[with the Independent Person cannot be? reached, the decision shall not be taken] b. Decide, taking into account the recommendations_of consideration of a complaint_which of the following options should be pursued; Deleted: if agreement[with the Independent Person cannot be? reached, the decision shall not be taken] 0. Decide, taking into account the recommendations_of Deleted: if agreement[with the Independent Person cannot be? reached, the decision shall not be taken] 0. Decide, taking into account the recommendations_of Deleted: if agreement[with the Independent Person cannot be? reached, the decision shall not be taken] 0. Decide, taking into account the recommendations_of Committee for decision. 0. Decide, taking into account the recommendations_of Deleted: with the decision shall not be taken] 0. The complaint should be investigated_ Deleted: for subject to agreement with its view 0. there has been a breach of the Code and that a particular sanction should be applied Deleted: cannot be taken by the[decision shall not be taken by the[decision sof misconduct of Members in				
Interest are exceptional circumstances and/or do not merit further investigation on public interest grounds. b. Decide, taking into account the recommendations_of the Standards_Working Group following initial consideration of a complaint which of the following options should be pursued: • the matter should proceed no further on the grounds that there is no breach of the Code or that it is not in the public interest to proceed • there has been a breach of the Code and that a particular sanction should be supplied to the Standards Working Group				
interest grounds. reached, the decision shall not be taken¶ b. Decide, taking into account the recommendations of consideration of a complaint which of the flandards. Working Group following initial consideration of a complaint which of the flandards. Deleted: view consideration of a complaint which of the following options should be pursued; Deleted: view • the complaint should be investigated. Deleted: assessment • the matter should proceed no further on the grounds that there is no breach of the Code or that it is not in the public interest to proceed Deleted: a • there has been a breach of the Code and that a particular sanction should be supplied Deleted: a • That further information should be supplied to the Standards Working Group the flandards Working Group the flandards working all not be taken by the¶ c. Appoint an investigator to investigate allegations of misconduct of Members in Committee for decision.			j	with the Independent Person
b. Decide, taking into account the recommendations of the Standards Working Group following initial consideration of a complaint which of the following options should be pursued; initiate of the Assessment Sub-1 Committee for decision. consideration of a complaint which of the following initial consideration of a complaint which of the following options should be pursued; Deleted: view et the complaint should be investigated. Deleted: and Deleted: following Options that there is no breach of the Code or that it is not in the public interest to proceed et there has been a breach of the Code and that a particular sanction should be supplied Deleted: and Deleted: and Deleted: and that a particular sanction should be supplied to the Standards Working Group Deleted: and Deleted: and c. Appoint an investigator to investigate allegations of misconduct of Members in Committee for decision. Deleted: and				reached, the decision shall not
consideration of a complaint which of the following options should be pursued; Deleted: view •	recommendations of			by the Monitoring Officer but instead be¶ referred to the Assessment Sub-¶
following options should be pursued; Deleted: Assessment • _the complaint should be investigated_ Deleted: Group • the matter should proceed no further on the grounds that there is no breach of the Code or that it is not in the public interest to proceed Deleted: ¶ subject to agreement with its view • there has been a breach of the Code and that a particular sanction should be applied Deleted: a • That further information should be supplied to the Standards Working Group Deleted: • C. Appoint an investigator to investigate allegations of misconduct of Members in Members in	the <u>Standards</u> , Working <u>Group following Initial</u>			Deleted: view
 the complaint should be investigated_ the matter should proceed no further on the grounds that there is no breach of the Code or that it is not in the public interest to proceed there has been a breach of the Code and that a particular sanction should be applied That further information should be supplied to the Standards Working Group c. Appoint an investigator to investigate allegations of misconduct of Members in 				Deleted: Assessment
investigated Investigate				Deleted: Group
 the matter should proceed no further on the grounds that there is no breach of the Code or that it is not in the public interest to proceed there has been a breach of the Code and that a particular sanction should be applied That further information should be supplied to the Standards Working Group c. Appoint an investigator to investigate allegations of misconduct of Members in 				Deleted: and
 <u>public interest to proceed</u> <u>there has been a breach of the Code</u> and that a particular sanction should be applied <u>That further information should be</u> supplied to the Standards Working Group <u>C. Appoint an investigator to investigate</u> allegations of misconduct of Members in 	the matter should proceed no further on the grounds that there is no breach		42222	subject to agreement with its
 there has been a breach of the Code and that a particular sanction should be applied That further information should be supplied to the Standards Working Group C. Appoint an investigator to investigate allegations of misconduct of Members in 				Deleted: whether
and that a particular sanction should be applied • That further information should be supplied to the Standards Working Group c. Appoint an investigator to investigate allegations of misconduct of Members in				Deleted: a
 be applied That further information should be supplied to the Standards Working Group Group C. Appoint an investigator to investigate allegations of misconduct of Members in 			1	Ŭ
 <u>That further information should be</u> <u>supplied to the Standards Working</u> <u>Group</u> <u>Assessment Working Group</u> then the¶ decision shall not be taken by the¶ Monitoring Officer but instead be¶ referred to the Assessment Sub-¶ Committee for decision. 	be applied		/	does not agree with the view of
Group Group C. Appoint an investigator to investigate allegations of misconduct of Members in			1	Assessment Working Group
c. Appoint an investigator to investigate allegations of misconduct of Members in			1	decision shall not be taken by
c. Appoint an investigator to investigate allegations of misconduct of Members in	Gloup		/	
c. Appoint an investigator to investigate allegations of misconduct of Members in	t			
allegations of misconduct of Members in				Sub-¶
	allegations of misconduct of Members in		<u></u>	Deleted: I

HRWC-CEGV-012106 / 01238193

Page 1 of 2

accordance with the Standards framework.	
d. Decide, following consideration of an investigation report by the Standards Working Group and taking into account their recommendations, whether a hearing should be held by the Standards Working Group or whether no further action is required.	
e.Decide, following a local hearing by the Standards Working Group and taking into account their recommendations, whether to take action against a member on the grounds that he or she has breached the Code of Conduct or to take no action.	
f. If he or she feels it appropriate, refer a matter back to the Standards Working Group for further consideration where he or she disagrees with their recommendations following a local hearing	
h. If he or she feels it appropriate, refer a matter to the Governance Audit Risk Management and Standards Committee for decision. This can be done where he or she disagrees with the recommendations of the Standards Working Group following a local hearing and further consideration as set out in f. above.	
e. Advise Members, officers and the public on the operation of the Code and how alleged breaches should be investigated.	 Deleted: d
In exercising the delegations under a. <u>b.</u> and d. <u>e</u> to seriously consider the view of the Independent Person or <u>Standards</u> Working Group as appropriate.	 Deleted: and

Part 3A

1) Terms of Reference – Governance Audit Risk Management and Standards Committee

Add:

'On referral from the Monitoring Officer, to decide whether to take action against a member for breach of the Code of Conduct and if so, to decide what action should be taken.'

2) Delete all references to Hearing Sub-Committee and Assessment Sub-Committee.

HRWC-CEGV-012106 / 01238193

Page 2 of 2